# EFFECT OF SUBSTITUTION OF YELLOW CORN BY DISCARDED DATES ON PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE AND DIGESTION COEFFICIENTS OF LACTATING BARKI EWES

# H.M. Saleh<sup>1</sup>, M. M. Mostafa<sup>1</sup>, A. K. Mohamed<sup>1</sup> and A.A. Aboamer<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biological Applications Department, Nuclear Research Center, Atomic Energy Authority, Cairo, 13759, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup>Dairy Sciences Department, National Research Centre, 33 Bohouth St. Dokki, Giza, 12622, Egypt.

\*Corresponding Author: A.A. Aboamer, aboamer.nrc@gmail.com

(Received 2/5/2022, accepted 16/8/2022)

# SUMMARY

ates that have been discarded are rich and inexpensive source of energy and represent a promising replacement for costly concentrates in livestock feeding. This research evaluated the impact of increasing levels of discarded dates as a substitute for yellow corn grain on intake, nutrient digestibility, and performance of lactating Barki ewes. Twenty multiparous lactating ewes (38.2 kg  $\pm$  1.18) were randomly divided into four groups (five ewes per group). The feeding trial lasted for 60 days, followed by the digestion trials. Ewes were fed a diet of concentrate feed mixture and fresh berseem clover at 70:30 on dry matter basis. Discarded dates (DD) were introduced at levels of 0, 25, 50, and 75% as a replacement for corn grain (CG) in the concentrated feed mixture (CFM) of the experimental groups (R1; control, R2, R3, and R4), respectively. Replacement of CG with DD affected the chemical composition of the ration, resulting in an increase in crude fiber content and a decrease in crude protein content. However, DD had no influence on dry matter intake, nutrient digestibility coefficients, or nutritive values (TDN, DCP, and SE). Increasing the inclusion level of DD led to a significant improvement in digestible crude fiber content and a decrease in digestible N-free extract content. Actual daily milk yield and energy corrected milk were unaffected by DD. However, ewes fed on DD at 75% numerically produced less milk yield and milk constituent yields. Also, there was no significant effect of DD on ewes' BW or lambs' average daily gain. In conclusion, discarded dates can serve as an alternative energy resource for feeding lactating ewes up to 75% of yellow corn without any adverse effect on the animals' performance.

Keywords: discarded dates, yellow corn, digestibility, milk, and Barki ewes.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Feeding costs account for 50-70% of the overall cost of animal production. Corn grains are the most important sources of carbohydrates in the diets of ruminants. One solution to the shortage and high price of corn grains is to use non-conventional substances as a partial or complete substitute for corn grains in the diets of ruminants (Taylor and Field, 2014). Egypt is a leading producer of dates and is considered to be one of the most significant date-producing nations in the world (FAO, 2011). Egypt produces over 1.4 million tons of dates each year, and the quantity of cull dates accounts for approximately 20% of all dates produced (Al-Yousef et al., 1994). Dates are rich in sugar, particularly glucose and fructose, which make up 60 to 76% of DM. Dates may provide animals with 87% of the digestible energy of the same quantity of traditional feed grain (El-Diahy et al., 2016). However, dates have a low protein concentration (1.5-4% of DM) compared to corn grains (Boufennara et al., 2016). Feeding sugar is preferable to feeding starch because sugar is immediately transformed into glucose with minimal nutritional loss (Chamberlain et al., 1993). Discarded dates are a valuable source of energy and might be added to ruminant animal feeds in replacement of imported corn grains, benefiting both the national economy and the date industry (Abo-Donia et al., 2019; El-Diahy et al., 2016; Iqbal et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2014; Suliman and Mustafa, 2014; Zadeh et al., 2015). Discarded dates are not suitable for human consumption, but they contain high quantities of total digestible nutrients and are palatable to ruminants. Dry matter intake (DMI) was significantly higher in animals fed discarded dates compared to a control diet (Iqbal et al., 2019). However, Abo-Donia et al. (2019) reported that no significant increase in DMI was observed by dairy cows fed discarded dates. Also, no significant differences in DMI were found in sheep and lactating ewes fed discarded dates (Khattab, 2013; Khattab and Anele, 2022). Numerous studies have investigated the influence of discarded dates on nutrient digestion (Al-Yousef et al., 1994; Iqbal et al., 2019; Khattab et

### Saleh et al.

*al.*, 2013; Khattab and Anele, 2022; Shi *et al.*, 2014; Taghinejad - Roudbaneh *et al.*, 2015; Zade *et al.*, 2014). Khattab and Anele (2022) reported that the digestibility of organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) were increased linearly (p<0.05) with increasing levels of dates, whereas the digestibility of dry matter (DM) tended to improve. Moreover, Iqbal *et al.* (2019) observed significant improvements in the *in vitro* DM digestibility (IVDMD) and in vivo nutritional digestibility with an increasing percentage of discarded date palm, up to 30%. In contrast, Shi *et al.* (2014) reported a significant decline in the digestibility of DM, CP, NDF, and ADF with an increasing proportion of non-conventional feed components in the diet.

The impact of feeding discarded dates on milk production and composition has been investigated by several studies (Abo-Donia *et al.*, 2019; Allam *et al.*, 2015; Iqbal *et al.*, 2019; Khattab, 2013). The replacement of 0, 50, or 100% of corn grain in the diets of lactating Barki ewes with dates had no significant effect on milk production or composition (Khattab, 2013). Similarly, Abo-Donia *et al.* (2019) reported that replacement of yellow corn grains in silage with discarded dates in crossing Friesian dairy cows' diets up to 75% had no significant impact on milk output and composition. However, Damani sheep fed 20 and 30% discarded dates on a dry matter (DM) basis produced more milk per day than those in control group. Also, milk fat, lactose, protein, and solids not fat (SNF) content were increased as the amount of dates increased in the diet (Iqbal *et al.*, 2019).

Although few studies investigated the impact of feeding dates on milk production, the findings were inconsistent, and additional research is required to understand the influence of dates on lactating Barki ewes' performance. Therefore, the purpose of this research was to examine the impact of increasing quantities of discarded dates as a substitute for corn grain on intake, nutrients' digestibility, milk yield and composition of lactating Barki ewes.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at the Sheep Farm, the Experimental Farm Project, Nuclear Research Centre, Atomic Energy Authority, Inshas, while the laboratory analysis was carried out in Dairy Department lab, National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt.

# Experimental design, animals, and diets:

Twenty multiparous lactating Barki ewes  $(38.2\pm1.18, \text{ kg})$ , suckling single lambs after 15 days of parturition were randomly divided into four groups (5 ewes each) using the complete random design. The feeding trial lasted for 60 days. Experimental diets consisted of a concentrated feed mixture and fresh berseem clover at 70:30 on dry matter basis. Discarded dates (DD) were introduced at levels of 0, 25, 50, and 75% as a replacement for corn grain (CG) in the concentrated feed mixture (CFM) of the experimental groups (R1; control, R2, R3, and R4), respectively. All diets were balanced and formulated to meet NRC (1975) recommendations. Ewes were fed twice daily in two equal portions. The first half of concentrate feed mixture (CFM) was offered at 09.00 and the second half at 14.00. Berseem clover was offered daily at 10.00. Animals had continuous access to fresh water. The ingredients composition (%) of the concentrated feed mixture used in the experimental groups is presented in table (1). Experimental diets were analyzed for proximate composition according to AOAC (2005). Table (2) shows the chemical composition of feed ingredients (on DM basis).

Table (1): Ingre	dients composition	(%) of	' experimental	concentrated	fed	mixture	(CFM)	fed	to
ewes.									

Item		Experim	ental ration	
	<b>R1</b>	R2	R3	R4
Corn grain	50	37.5	25	12.5
Discarded dates	-	12.5	25	37.5
Sugar beet pulp	27.4	27.4	27.4	27.4
Cottonseed meal	12	12	12	12
Soya meal 48%	3	3	3	3
Wheat bran	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
NaCL	1	1	1	1
Mineral mixture	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Dicalcium phosphate	1	1	1	1
AD <sub>3</sub> E	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### Milk production and composition:

Milk yield was recorded for every ewe once every two weeks, starting from the second week until 8 weeks of lactation. Twenty-four hours before hand milking, the lambs were kept away from their dams. Ewes were completely hand milked until stripping the udder. Representative milk samples of about 100 g/ewe were taken and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until analysis. Milk contents of fat, protein, lactose, solids-not-fat (SNF), total solids (TS), and some physical characteristics (density, freezing point, and pH) were determined using the LACTOSCAN SP MILK ANALYZER (Milkotronic Ltd- Bulgaria). Milk SNF and ash were calculated by the following equation: SNF % = TS % - Fat %; Ash % = TS % - Protein % - Fat % - Lactose %. Milk urea nitrogen (MUN) was conducted for milk samples using commercial kits (Biodiagnostic® kits) by colorimetric method using a spectrophotometric device (T80 UV/VIS Spectrometer, PG Instruments Ltd., UK). Daily yields of fat, protein, lactose, ash, total solids, and solids-not-fat were computed for the individual milk yields from the sampling day of each ewe.

Daily milk yield was standardized to 4% fat and 3.3% protein using the energy corrected milk (ECM) formula: ECM (kg/d) = (milk production  $\times$  (0.383  $\times$  % fat + 0.242  $\times$  % protein + 0.7832) / 3.1138), (NRC, 2001).

### Changes in body weight:

Ewes and lambs were weighted before the morning feeding every two weeks over the experimental period to record changes in body weight.

### Digestion trail:

At the end of the lactation trial, four animals from each treatment were selected randomly for the digestion study to determine digestibility and nutritive value of the experimental rations. Animals were individually housed in a pen for 7 days as a preliminary period, followed by 4 days as a collection period. The rations were offered daily, and refusals, if found, were recorded every day. Grap samples of feces from rectum were daily collected. Fecal samples were sprayed with  $H_2SO_4$  10% and dried at 60°C for 48 hours, then ground and stored for chemical analysis. Silica as an internal marker was used for determining the apparent digestibility. Digestibility coefficients were calculated using the following formula:

Digestion coefficient =  $100 - [100 \times (\% \text{ indicator in feed}) / (\% \text{ indicator in feces}) \times (\% \text{ nutrient in feed})].$ 

### Statistical analysis:

Data were statistically analyzed using Two-Way Repeated Measures ANOVA (SPSS, 2011). The statistical model was as follows:  $Y_{ijk} = \mu + R_i + Tj + (RT)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$ , Where  $Y_{ijk} =$  the kth observation (k = 1... 20) for ration i in time j,  $\mu$  = the overall mean,  $R_i$  = the effect of ration i (i = 1... 4),  $T_j$  = the effect of time j (j = 15, 30, 45, 60), (RP)<sub>jk</sub> = the interaction, and  $e_{ijk}$  = the experimental error. In addition, data on changes in body weight and daily gain were analyzed using one-way ANOVA (SPSS, 2011). The statistical model was as follows:  $X_{ij} = \mu + R_i + E_{ij}$ , Where:  $X_{ij}$  = the j<sup>th</sup> observation (j = 1... 20) for ration i,  $\mu$  = overall mean,  $R_i$  = the effect ration i (i = 1... 4), Eij = experimental error. Duncan's multiple range tests were used to test the significance of means (Duncan, 1955).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Ration composition, digestion coefficients and nutritive values:

Table (2) shows the chemical composition of the experimental rations. Data show that replacing corn grain (CG) with discarded dates (DD) in ewes' rations resulted in a gradual increase in crude fiber (CF) and ash content. However, CP and N-free extract (NFE) content decreased as replacement levels increased. These results agree with those reported by El-Shora *et al.* (2014); El-Diahy *et al.* (2016) and Abo-Donia *et al.* (2019).

Table (3) shows the ewes' BW, DMI, nutrient digestibility coefficients, and nutritive values of the experimental rations. There was no significant effect of discarded dates on either DMI or DMI per metabolic body weight (BW0.75). However, ewes fed 75% DD had a numerically higher DMI compared to other groups. These findings are consistent with those of Khattab (2013), who found that feeding lactating ewes on DD as a substitution for CG at levels up to 100 g/100 g of corn grain had no significant

# Saleh et al.

impact on their total DMI. Also, feeding DD had no significant effect on nutrient digestibility coefficients (OM, CP, CF, EE, and NFE).

Item	Concentrated feed mixture (CFM)							
	R1	R2	R3	R4	Berseem			
OM	94.79	93.30	92.71	92.58	90.04			
CF	10.53	12.92	15.86	17.82	32.24			
СР	12.19	12.04	11.82	11.05	16.57			
EE	2.92	3.40	3.32	2.75	1.63			
NFE <sup>*</sup>	69.15	64.94	61.71	60.95	39.6			
Ash	5.21	6.70	7.29	7.42	9.96			
*NEE = OM (CD + CE + EE)								

Table (2): Proximate analysis of feed ingredients (on DM basis).

 $^*NFE = OM - (CP + CF + EE)$ 

Table (3): Dry matter intake (DMI), digestibility coefficients, and nutritive values of the experimental rations. \_

<b>1</b> 4		Experime	ntal ration		<b>SEM</b> 1.02 35.81 10.77 38.79 2.54 0.91 2.39 1.16 1.14 0.73 0.62 0.18 0.06 0.69 0.89 31.87 2.22 3.75 0.27 0.97	D I	
Item	<b>R1</b>	R2	R3	<b>R4</b>	SEM	P value	
Body weight, kg	38.8	38.3	37.5	38.0	1.02	0.983	
Dry matter intake (DMI, g/d)							
CFM	1089.5	973.5	1151.6	1138.6	35.81	0.297	
Berseem	426.1	390.4	387.9	435.9	10.77	0.292	
Total	1515.5	1363.9	1539.5	1574.5	38.79	0.240	
DMI/BW <sup>0.75</sup>	97.9	89.9	101.8	102.9	2.54	0.276	
Nutrient digestibility coefficients, %							
OM	74.5	74.7	78.1	73.1	0.91	0.264	
CF	54.6	57.6	67.1	63.7	2.39	0.246	
СР	62.1	65.6	66.9	60.5	1.16	0.170	
EE	76.0	73.3	79.7	76.1	1.14	0.294	
NFE	82.1	82.0	84.2	79.2	0.73	0.108	
Nutritive values, % (on DM basis)							
DCF	8.4 <sup>a</sup>	9.9 <sup>ab</sup>	12.7 <sup>b</sup>	13.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.62	0.004	
DCP <sup>2</sup>	8.4	8.8	8.7	7.6	0.18	0.057	
DEE	2.0 <sup>ac</sup>	$2.2^{abc}$	2.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.9 <sup>ac</sup>	0.06	0.005	
DNEF	50.6 <sup>a</sup>	48.0 <sup>a</sup>	48.0 <sup>a</sup>	44.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.69	0.002	
TDN <sup>1</sup>	72.0	71.6	74.7	69.4	0.89	0.221	
TDN intake, g/d	1090.6	980.3	1149.2	1093.2	31.87	0.318	
TDN intake/BW <sup>0.75</sup> , g/BW <sup>0.75</sup>	70.5	64.8	76.1	71.4	2.22	0.384	
DCP intake, g/d	126.8	119.8	134.2	120.3	3.75	0.530	
DCP intake/BW <sup>0.75</sup> , g/BW <sup>0.75</sup>	8.2	7.9	8.9	7.9	0.27	0.559	
$SE^3$	61.9	60.4	62.5	56.4	0.97	0.095	
SE intake, g/d	938.8	828.4	961.8	889.2	28.00	0.370	
SE intake/BW <sup>0.75</sup> , g/BW <sup>0.75</sup>	60.7	54.8	63.7	58.1	1.94	0.450	

 $^{1}TDN(\%) = digestible CP(\%) + digestible CF(\%) + digestible NFE(\%) + digestible EE(\%) \times 2.25.$  (NRC, 1985)  $^{2}DCP(\%) = CP$  digestion coefficient  $\times CP(\%)$ .

<sup>3</sup>Starch equivalent (SE) =  $[(DCP \times 0.95) + (DEE + 1.91) + (DCF \times 1) + (DNFE \times 1)] - (CF\% \times 0.56)$ 

a, b, c Means with different superscripts in the same raw are significantly different (P<0.05); Each value of means obtained from four animals; SE: standard error.

However, ewes fed DD at levels of 50 and 75% (R3 and R4) had a significantly higher DCF content compared to the control group (R1). In contrast, the digestible crude protein (DCP) content tends to be numerically decreased in rations containing 75% of DD (R4) (p=0.057). Also, R4 had the lowest digestible NFE (DNFE) content (p<0.05). The digestible ether extract (DEE) content of R3 was slightly higher than the control ration.

In addition, there were no significant differences in total digestible nutrients (TDN), digestible crude protein (DCP), or starch equivalent (SE) content among the experimental rations. However, ration that contain 75% DD (R3) tend to have the highest nutritive values for TDN and SE (p>0.05). Also, R3 had insignificantly higher values for daily intake from TDN, DCP, and SE (p>0.05).

El-Shora *et al.* (2014) reported that lactating Friesian cows fed 33 and 66% DD had higher nutrient digestibility of all nutrients and nutritive values (TDN and DCP) compared to control groups (p<0.05). Also, Abo-Donia *et al.* (2019) reported an insignificant increase in the digestibility of CP, CF, and fiber fractions in dairy cows fed DD in the silage at levels up to 75% replacing CG.

#### Milk yield and composition:

The concomitant effects of DD on milk production and composition are presented in tables (4 and 5). As seen from table (4), there was no significant effect of DD on daily milk yield or energy corrected milk (ECM). Ewes fed DD up to 50% produced approximately the same daily milk yield and ECM as the control group. However, ewes fed the highest inclusion level of DD (R4) produced less milk, at 549.0 and 647.1 g/d for daily milk yield (P=0.884) and ECM (P=0.827), respectively. These results agree with that found by Khattab (2013) who revealed no significant effect of DD on daily milk production of lactating ewes fed DD at levels 50 and 100%. However, ewes fed 100% produced insignificantly less milk production compared to the other groups. In addition, El-Shora *et al.* (2014) reported a significant increase in daily milk yield of Damani sheep fed 20 and 30% DD on DM basis. For milk composition, ewes fed DD at a level of 50% (R3) had an insignificantly higher milk fat content compared to the other groups (p=0.579). On the contrary, the control group (R1) had numerically higher (p>0.05) values for protein, lactose, and solids non-fat (SNF) content.

Ε	xperimen	tal ration	S		<i>P</i> value				
R1	R)	<b>R3</b>	<b>R</b> 4	SEM		1 value			
N1	N2	KJ	174		Trt	Time	Trt*T		
619.3	636.9	604.5	549.0	40.91	0.884	linear (<0.001)	0.96		
						quadratic (0.039)			
771.8	766.1	774.9	647.1	56.92	0.827	linear (0.188)	0.733		
						quadratic (0.037)			
4.5	4.6	5.1	4.2	0.24	0.579	linear (0.646)	0.972		
						quadratic (0.270)			
5.5	4.9	5.0	5.2	0.10	0.317	linear (0.003)	0.941		
						quadratic (0.117)			
5.2	4.8	4.8	5.0	0.09	0.336	linear (0.002)	0.952		
						quadratic (0.083)			
0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.02	0.322	linear (0.003)	0.925		
						quadratic (0.115)			
11.6	10.5	10.6	11.0	0.21	0.327	linear (0.003)	0.946		
						quadratic (0.099)			
16.1	15.2	15.8	15.2	0.361	0.748	linear (0.068)	0.970		
						quadratic (0.141)			
50.3ª	47.8 <sup>a</sup>	39.8 <sup>b</sup>	37.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.20	0.004	linear (<0.001)	0.111		
						quadratic (0.063)			
	E R1 619.3 771.8 4.5 5.5 5.2 0.9 11.6 16.1 50.3 <sup>a</sup>	Experiment   R1 R2   619.3 636.9   771.8 766.1   4.5 4.6   5.5 4.9   5.2 4.8   0.9 0.8   11.6 10.5   16.1 15.2   50.3 <sup>a</sup> 47.8 <sup>a</sup>	R1 R2 R3   619.3 636.9 604.5   771.8 766.1 774.9   4.5 4.6 5.1   5.5 4.9 5.0   5.2 4.8 4.8   0.9 0.8 0.8   11.6 10.5 10.6   16.1 15.2 15.8   50.3 <sup>a</sup> 47.8 <sup>a</sup> 39.8 <sup>b</sup>	R1 R2 R3 R4   619.3 636.9 604.5 549.0   771.8 766.1 774.9 647.1   4.5 4.6 5.1 4.2   5.5 4.9 5.0 5.2   5.2 4.8 4.8 5.0   0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8   11.6 10.5 10.6 11.0   16.1 15.2 15.8 15.2   50.3 <sup>a</sup> 47.8 <sup>a</sup> 39.8 <sup>b</sup> 37.4 <sup>b</sup>	R1 R2 R3 R4 SEM   619.3 636.9 604.5 549.0 40.91   771.8 766.1 774.9 647.1 56.92   4.5 4.6 5.1 4.2 0.24   5.5 4.9 5.0 5.2 0.10   5.2 4.8 4.8 5.0 0.09   0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.02   11.6 10.5 10.6 11.0 0.21   16.1 15.2 15.8 15.2 0.361   50.3 <sup>a</sup> 47.8 <sup>a</sup> 39.8 <sup>b</sup> 37.4 <sup>b</sup> 1.20	R1 R2 R3 R4 SEM Trt   619.3 636.9 604.5 549.0 40.91 0.884   771.8 766.1 774.9 647.1 56.92 0.827   4.5 4.6 5.1 4.2 0.24 0.579   5.5 4.9 5.0 5.2 0.10 0.317   5.2 4.8 4.8 5.0 0.09 0.336   0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.322   11.6 10.5 10.6 11.0 0.21 0.327   16.1 15.2 15.8 15.2 0.361 0.748   50.3 <sup>a</sup> 47.8 <sup>a</sup> 39.8 <sup>b</sup> 37.4 <sup>b</sup> 1.20 0.004	Experimental rations SEM $P$ value   R1 R2 R3 R4 SEM $Trt$ Time   619.3 636.9 604.5 549.0 40.91 0.884 linear (<0.001) quadratic (0.039)   771.8 766.1 774.9 647.1 56.92 0.827 linear (0.188) quadratic (0.037)   4.5 4.6 5.1 4.2 0.24 0.579 linear (0.646) quadratic (0.270)   5.5 4.9 5.0 5.2 0.10 0.317 linear (0.003) quadratic (0.117)   5.2 4.8 4.8 5.0 0.09 0.336 linear (0.002) quadratic (0.083)   0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.02 0.322 linear (0.003) quadratic (0.115)   11.6 10.5 10.6 11.0 0.21 0.327 linear (0.003) quadratic (0.099)   16.1 15.2 15.8 15.2 0.361 0.748 linear (<0.068) quadratic (0.141)   50.3 <sup>a</sup> 47.8 <sup>a</sup> 39.8 <sup>b</sup> 37.4 <sup>b</sup> 1.20 0.004		

#### Table (4): Milk yield, ECM and milk constituents of ewes fed the experimental diets.

\**ECM* (kg/d) = (milk production × (0.383 × % fat + 0.242 × % protein + 0.7832) / 3.1138), (NRC, 2001).

Previous study conducted by Iqbal *et al.* (2019) using lactating Damani sheep fed DD showed a significant improvement in milk composition (protein, SNF, and fat contents) while lactose content remained unchanged. Increased dietary fiber may enhance the activity of fibrolytic bacteria, resulting in an increase in acetic acid production and a reduction in propionic acid levels (Visser *et al.*, 1998). Acetate is the primary substrate for de novo fatty acid production. So, increasing the acetate supply to

### Saleh et al.

lactating animals could lead to an increase in their milk fat content (Urrutia and Harvatine, 2017). For milk constituent yields, substitution with DD had no significant effect on milk component yields. However, ewes fed the control ration (R1) produced the numerically highest (P>0.05) yields of protein, lactose, and SNF. Milk urea nitrogen (MUN) concentration was decreased (p<0.05) in groups fed DD at 50 and 75% compared to the control and R1. MUN content can reveal both the nitrogen balance in the rumen and the dietary protein supply (if a meal is too high or low in protein) (Baset *et al.*, 2010; Glatz-Hoppe *et al.*, 2020). The significant decrease in MUN in groups fed DD might reflect an improvement in energy and protein in the diet, leading to high production efficiency.

	Experimental rations					<i>P</i> value					
Items	<b>R1</b>	R2	R3	R4	SEM						
						Trt	Time	Trt*T			
Fat yield, g/d	28.6	29.5	31.2	23.3	2.56	0.728	linear (0.342)	0.818			
							quadratic (0.059)				
Protein yield, g/d	34.1	31.3	30.8	28.6	2.369	0.878	linear (0.494)	0.691			
							quadratic (0.024)				
Lactose yield, g/d	32.7	30.4	29.4	27.4	2.26	0.874	linear (0.555)	0.671			
							quadratic (0.019)				
SNF yield, g/d	72.1	66.5	65.1	60.5	5.00	0.876	linear (0.003)	0.681			
							quadratic (0.099)				
Total solids yield, g/d	100.6	96.1	96.2	83.8	7.3	0.861	linear (0.408)	0.724			
							quadratic (0.028)				

Table (5): Milk constituents' yields (g/day) of ewe's milk produced during the first 60 days of lactation.

### Live body weight of ewes:

Table (6) presents the changes in live body weight (BW) of lactating ewes and their suckling lambs along the experimental period. Results showed no significant effect for DD substitution on lactating ewes BW. However, ewes fed control diet (R1) had insignificant highest value for weight gain being, 2 kg followed by R3 then R2 (p=0.622), while R4 was lost about 0.4 kg from their BW at the end of experiment. These findings are agreed with those of Khattab (2013), who observed no significant effect of DD on the body weight of lactating ewes.

# Performance of lambs:

Table (6) shows the lambs' growth performance in respect of birth weight, weaning weight, daily gain, and total gain.

		Experimental rations				_	P value			
items	time	R1	R2	R3	R4	SE M	Trt	Time	Trt* T	
Ewes BW, kg	At lambing After 60 days	39.0 41.2	38.0 38.0	37.4 39.0	36.2 36.8	1.18	0.761	linear (0.122)	0.664	
	changes, kg	2.0	0.4	1.4	-0.4	0.66	0.622			
Level - DW	At birth At weaning	4.0 15.6	3.9 13.8	3.9 13.4	3.7 11.8	0.35	0.254	linear (<0.001)	0.221	
c/h/d	Total gain, kg	11.6	9.9	9.5	8.1	0.58	0.221			
g/h/d	Growth rate, g/h/d	192.7	164.3	158. 3	135. 0	9.72	0.221			

Table (6): Changes in live body we	ght (BW) for	<sup>•</sup> lactating	Barki	ewes	and	their	suckling	lambs
during the experimental	period.							

This metric indirectly represents milk production during the suckling or lactation period (60 days). As shown in table (6) there were no significant differences among the groups. However, the control group had the highest total gain (kg) and average daily gain (g), being 8.96 and 199.1, respectively. Khattab (2013) reported a significant decrease in the average daily gain for lambs born of ewes fed high levels of DD (100% replaced CG).

### CONCLUSION

Discarded dates are a rich source of energy, and their use as a grain substitute for corn up to 75% in the diet of lactating ewes had no adverse effects on feed intake, nutrient digestibility, milk production, or milk composition.

# REFERENCES

- Abo-Donia, F.M., G.E. El-Emam, M.A. El-Shora, Amal Fayed, Hanim A. Elsheikh, and T.H. El-Sawah (2019). Utilization of Discarded Dates as a Source of Energy in Silage Fermentation and the Effect of Silage Produced on the Performance of Dairy Cows. Journal of Animal and Poultry Production 10(5):109-114.
- Allam, S.M., A.M. Ali, M.M. Bendary, M.M. El-Nahrawy, A.A. El-Bana, and Hala M. Farrage (2015). The use of discarded date palm for bioethanol production and feeding lactating buffaloes. Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds 18(2):1-10.
- Al-Yousef, Y.M., F.N. Al-Mulhim, G.A. El Hag, and G.A. Al-Gasim (1994). Apparent digestibility of discarded dates and date pits together with other agricultural by-products. Annals Agric. Sci. 39, 655– 662.
- AOAC (2005). Official Method of Analysis. 18th Edition. AOAC Press. Maryland, USA.
- Baset, M.A., K.S. Huque, N.R. Sarker, M.M. Hossain, and M.N. Islam (2010). Evaluation of Milk Urea Nitrogen of Dairy Cows Reared Under Different Feed Bases in the Different Seasons. Journal of Science Foundation 8(1&2):97-110.
- Boufennara, S., L. Bouazza, A.D. Vega, M. Fondevila, Z. Amanzougarene, and S. Lopez (2016). In vitro assessment of nutritive value of date palm by-products as feed for ruminants. Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture, 28(10): 695-703.
- Chamberlain, D.G., S. Robertson, and J.J. Choung (1993). Sugars versus starch as supplements to grass silage: Effects on ruminal fermentation and the supply of microbial protein to the small intestine, estimated from the urinary excretion of purine derivatives, in sheep. J. Sci. Food Agric., 63(2):189-194.
- Duncan D.B., (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F-Test. Biometrics, 11:1-5.
- El-Diahy, Y.M., M.A. El-shora, M.H. Abo El-Fadel, and T.A. Dera (2016). Growth Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Friesian Calves Fed Different Discarded Dates Levels as a Replacor of Yellow Corn. Journal of Animal and Poultry Production, 7(8):293-298.
- El-Shora, M.A., M.H. Abo El-Fadel, T.A. Deraz, and Y.M. El-Diahy (2014). Effect of using discarded dates on productive and reproductive performance of lactating Friesian cows. Journal of Animal and Poultry Production, 5(12): 775-789.
- FAO (2011). FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Glatz-Hoppe, J., A. Boldt, H. Spiekers, E. Mohr, and B. Losand (2020). Relationship between milk constituents from milk testing and health, feeding, and metabolic data of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 103(11): 10175-10194.
- Iqbal, N., M.T. Khan, H. Amanullah, I. Din, H. Khan, M. Shah, and M. Mushtaq (2019). Effect of feeding different levels of discarded date palm (Phoenix dactylifera) on digestibility, milk yield, and composition in Damani sheep. Trop Anim Health Prod 51: 2181–2186.
- Khattab, I.M., (2013). Effect of replacing corn grain with dates to lactating ewes on milk production and growth rate of their lambs. Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds (2013), 16(2) Special Issue: 219-224.
- Khattab, I.M., A.Z.M. Salem, A.M. Abdel-Wahed, and K.Z. Kewan (2013). Effects of urea supplementation on nutrient digestibility, nitrogen utilisation and rumen fermentation in sheep fed diets containing dates. Livestock Science, 155(2-3): 223-229.
- Khattab, I.M., U.Y. Anele (2022). Dry matter intake, digestibility, nitrogen utilization and fermentation characteristics of sheep fed Atriplex hay-based diet supplemented with discarded dates as a replacement for barley grain. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition, 106(2): 229-238.
- NRC (1975). National Research Council. Nutrient requirements of sheep (5th Ed.). Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, USA, 72 pp.

- NRC (2001). National Research Council. Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle. Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, USA.
- Shi, F.H., L. Fang, Q.X. Meng, H. Wu, J.P. Du, X.X. Xie, L.P. Ren, Z.M. Zhou, and B. Zhou (2014). Effects of partial or total replacement of maize with alternative feed source on digestibility, growth performance, blood metabolites and economics in limousin crossbred cattle. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 27(10): 1443-1451
- SPSS (2011). Statistical Package for Social Science. SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA.
- Suliman, A.I.A., S.M.S. Mustafa (2014). Effects of ground date seeds as a partial replacer of ground maize on nitrogen metabolism and growth performance of lambs. Egyptian Journal of Sheep & Goat Sciences 9: 23–31.
- Taghinejad-Roudbaneh, M., S.R. Ebrahimi-Mahmudabad, H. Ghoreyshi, and M. Kazemi-Bonchenari (2015). Utilization of Date by Product on Sheep Feeding: Its Ruminal Degradation, Nutrient Digestibility and Its Effect on Sheep Growth. Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science, 5(4): 883-888.
- Taylor, R.E., T.G. Field (2014). Scientific Farm Animal Production, Pearson Education Limited.
- Urrutia, N.L., K.J. Harvatine (2017). Acetate dose-dependently stimulates milk fat synthesis in lactating dairy cows. Journal of Nutrition 147(5): 763–769.
- Visser, H.D., A. Klop, J.V.D. Meulen, and A.M.V. Vuuren (1998). Influence of Maturity of Grass Silage and Flaked Corn Starch on the Production and Metabolism of Volatile Fatty Acids in Dairy Cows. J. Dairy Sci., 81:1028-1035.
- Zade, J.B., A. Khezri, O. Dayani, and R. Tahmasbi (2014). Effects of discarded dates on rumen fermentation and digestibility in Kermani sheep. Online Journal of Veterinary Research 18: 415–422.
- Zadeh, J.B., N.M. Kor, and S. Abedini (2015). The Effects of Different Levels Discarded Dates on Synthesis of Microbial Protein in Kermani Sheeps. International Journal of Life Sciences 9(5): 45-49.

تأثير استبدال الذرة الصفراء بالبلح المستبعد على الأداء الإنتاجي ومعاملات الهضم في نعاج البرقي الحلابة

هشام منير صالح<sup>1</sup>، محمد محمود مصطفى<sup>1</sup>، أحمد خليل محمد<sup>1</sup>، أحمد عبدالقادر أبو عامر<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> قسم التطبيقات البيولوجية - مركز البحوث النووية - هيئة الطاقة الذرية – أنشاص – القاهرة - مصر. <sup>2</sup>قسم الألبان – معهد بحوث الصناعات الغذائية والتغذية - المركز القومي للبحوث- 33 شارع البحوث- الدقي - الجيزة - مصر.

الكلمات المفتاحية: مخلفات البلح – الذرة الصفراء – الهضم – اللبن - أغنام برقى

أجريت هذه الدراسة بهدف دراسة تأثير الإحلال التدريجى للبلح المستبعد محل الذرة الصفراء على الأداء الإنتاجى ومعاملات الهضم فى نعاج البرقى الحلابة. تم تقسيم عدد عشرون نعجة برقى حلابه ترضع حمل واحد بعد 15 يوم بعد الولادة بمتوسط وزن (38.2 كجم ± 1.18) عشوائيا إلى أربعه مجموعات (عدد خمس نعجات فى كل مجموعه) باستخدام التصميم تام العشوائية. غذيت المجموعه الأولى على العليقة الكنترول والتي تتكون من مخلوط علف مركز (لا يحتوي على البلح المستبعد) والبرسيم المصرى بنسبة (07:00) على أساس المادة الجافة. تم إحلال البلح المستبعد محل الذرة الصفراء في مخلوط العلف المركز بنسبة 20، 50، 75% في علائق الثانية، الثالثة والرابعة، على التوالي. وقد أظهرت النتائج، زيادة في محتوى الإلياف وإنخفاض فى المحتوى من البروتين مع زيادة نسبة الإحلال. كذلك عدم وجود إختلافات معنوية فى المأكول من المادة الجافة ومعاملات الهضم وكنلك القيم الغذائية (المركبات المهضومة الكلية والبروتين المهضوم ومعادل النشا) ما بين العلائق التجريبية. فى حتوى الإلياف وإنخفاض فى المحتوى من البروتين مع زيادة نسبة الكلية والبروتين المهضوم ومعادل النشا) ما بين العلائق التجريبية. فى حين أن زيادة نسبة الإحلال أدت إلى زيادة فى من ان النعاج المغذاة على البلح المستبعد بنسبة إحلال 50% التجريبية. فى حين أن زيادة نسبة الإحلال أدت إلى زيادة فى محتوى الإلياف المهضوم وراخفاض محتواها من الكربو هيدرات الذائبة. ولم يتأثر محصول اللبن اليومى الفعلى أو المحلان إلى إلى أر بلار عن من ان النعاج المغذاة على البلح المستبعد بنسبة إحلال 75% انتجت أقل محصول لبن يومى وكذلك المحصول من مكونات اللبن في من ان النعاج المغذاة على البلح المستبعد بنسبة إحلال 75% انتجت أقل محصول لبن يومى وكذلك المحمولان من مكونات اللبن وإن لم تن إلاحتلافات معنوية. كذلك لم تظهر إختلافات معنوية فى وزن النعاج أو متوسط معدل الزيادة اليومية فى الحمولان الموا تكن الإختلافات معنوية. كذلك لم تظهر إختلافات معنوية فى وزن النعاج أو متوسط معدل الزيادة اليومية فى الحملان مابين المعاملات التجريبية. الخلاصة، يمكن إستخدام البلح المستبعد كمصدر طاقة بديل للذرة حتى مستوى 75% من نسبة الذرة فى العليقة بدون إى